



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,885	12/02/2003	Makoto Kudo	104822.01	2437
25944 75	90 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			BONZO, BRYCE P	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
			D. FD. M. W. FD	_

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/724,885	KUDO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Bryce P. Bonzo	2114				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMINER 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	UNICATION.  lay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/02/03					
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.					
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	· •				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□							
6)⊠							
7)🖂							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	t.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	·				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 12/02/03 is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form F	°TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		••			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have b	een received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International B		•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		· No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (P1	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

Art Unit: 2114

## **NON-FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Clams 1, 14, 29 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC §101, Statutory Double Patenting.

Claims 14 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC §112, fourth paragraph.

Claim 26 is objected as a substantial duplicate.

Claims 2-13, 15-25, 27, 28, 30-35, 37 and 38 are objected to while containing allowable matter.

### Rejections under 35 USC §101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1, 14, 29 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 8 and 36 of prior application 09/424,667 (issue fee paid) awaiting U.S. Patent Number. This is a double patenting rejection.

The prior patented claims 8 and 36 awaiting printing recite the features of storing a program in a ROM. When combined with their respective independent claims, this claimed subject matter is identical to pending claims 1, 14, 29 and 36.

Applicant is further advised, that in attempting to correct this coverage overlap, that a Non-Statutory Double Patenting rejections will likely result, and as such Applicant is strongly encouraged to file a Terminal Disclaimer with the amendments to correct the Statutory Double Patenting Rejections.

#### Rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph

35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph reads as follows:

Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

Claims 14 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC §112, fourth paragraph. These claims contain limitations identical to those found in the base independent claims.

Claim 26 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of

claim 19. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in

content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is

proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of

the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-13, 15-25, 27, 28, 30-35, 37 and 38 contain subject matter which has

been indicated allowable in the prosecution of US Patent Application 09/424,667.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bryce P. Bonzo whose telephone number is (571)272-

3655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,885

Art Unit: 2114

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryce P Bonzo Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 2114